Page 85. One may ask "where is there any analogy between Mark Anthony's oration over Julius Caeser and Johnson's speech, as per Bates page 87. Johnson refers to Southern leaders who were thought to be implicated. Bates, page 90. It is natural, of course, that Mr. Bates should get Dubuque, Iowa and Burlington, N. J. confused. General Grant did not say that his wife expressed some frivolous reason for leaving the city, but said his He considered it very kind of Mr. Bates to vindicate General Grant after attempting to implicate President Johnson, General and other Federal officers and soldiers. The statement on page 92 with reference to how Mr. Bates came into the possession of the copy of the Boston Globe containing General statement would make it appear that Divine Providence was leading him as it did not page 292 where a palmist is called in to read the palm of the dead George and prove that he is John Wilkes Booth. The question of statement is whether it co-operates St. Helen's confession or Bates' imagination. It should be remembered that statement given in the Boston Globe was written by a second party from reminiscences 23 years after the events occured and did not have notes. There is no doubt that was not capable of with the situation while searching